UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,860	10/30/2003	Tim C. Lieuwen	17625.0062	8067	
29052 SUTHERI AND	7590 12/14/2007 D ASBILL & BRENNAN	IIP	EXAMINER		
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
ATLANTA, G	A 30309		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,860	LIEUWEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles G. Freay	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-3,33 and 40-54 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 3,33 and 41-54 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the drawing specification is objected to be the Examine The arther declaration is objected to be the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The arther declaration is objected to by the Examine The arther declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The arther declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2004. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/696,860

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on September 28, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims, 1 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by The article "OPTICAL AND ACOUSTIC SESNING OF LEAN BLOWOUT PRECURSORS", by Muruganandam et al (hereafter AIAA).

AIAA discloses a system and method for optically sensing and measuring the intensity of a flame in a combustor with an optical measuring device (Figs. 1 and 2), and using the signal from the measuring devise in a blowout precursor detection unit to perform raw data analysis or spectral analysis on the signal and identify the precursor (note the Data Analysis section).

The examiner notes that the instant case is a CIP of 10/603,039 and that the material related to an optical detector and sensor was added in the instant application and has a priority date of October 30, 2003. Therefore, the disclosure at the Joint

Application/Control Number: 10/696,860

Art Unit: 3746

Propulsion Conference & Exhibit on July 7-10, 2002 by some of the applicants is prior art under 35 USC 102(b).

Claims 1, 2 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shu et al (USPN 5,544,478).

Shu et al discloses a system and method for detecting blowout precursors by using an optical detector (470) to measure flame intensity (col. 1 lines 49, 50) and sending a signal to a blowout precursor detection unit (700) which performs a spectral analysis using a Fourier transform (714, Fig. 2) to determine the blowout precursor and further control the fuel flow (at 610) with a combustion controller (500).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3746

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 40 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 7,089,746.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 40 sets forth the step of receiving "combustion data measured by a combustor measuring devise" while the claims of the patent set forth using a pressure measuring device to gather combustion pressure data. The pressure measuring device and the pressure data of the patent are more narrow recitations of the combustion data and the combustion measuring device of the application. Therefore, the claims of the application are broader than the claims of the patent and one of ordinary skill in the art performing the method of the patent is also performing the method of claim 40 in the application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 33 and 41-54 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Minott et al and JP ('025) disclose optical detection systems for gas turbine combustors and Lucenko et al discloses a method and apparatus for detecting blowout.

Art Unit: 3746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 3746

CGF November 10, 2007